That's. 21 yam. 200

RBL/RBL

### TITENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

LEVY, Robert Thomson Licensing Inc. Two Independence Way, Suite 200 Princeton, NJ 08540 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

20.10.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

PU030212

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

International application No. PCT/US2004/009176

25.03.2004

21.07.2003

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

THOMSON LICENSING S.A. et al

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Schalinatus, D

Tel. +49 89 2399-8242



### TINT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PU030212	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/US2004/009176	International filing date (day/mon 25.03.2004	nth/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 21.07.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04N5/76				
M04N5// 0				
Applicant THOMSON LICENSING S.A. et al				
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cove	er sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a.   sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International is	Bureau only) a total of (indicate bles related thereto, in compute Elisting (see Section 802 of the	type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a er readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental e Administrative Instructions).		
	· · ·			
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
☐ Box No. 1 Basis of the op	inion			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishr	nent of opinion with regard to n	ovelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity o	•			
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci	ement under Article 35(2) with tations and explanations suppo	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement		
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited			
1	s in the international application			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the international app	lication		
	. Data	of completion of this report		
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report		
19.10.2004	20.1	0.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		orized Officer		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523	Frag	gua, M		
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		phone No. +49 89 2399-5875		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/009176

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1. With reg		rd to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ss otherwise indicated under this item.	
		report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, a is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	□рі	ternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))  ublication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)  ternational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
		rd to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which in furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):	
	Descriptio	n, Pages	
	1-9	as published	
	Claims, N	umbers	
	1-24	as published	
	Drawings	Sheets	
	1/3-3/3	as published	
	<sup>-</sup> □ aseo	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	☐ The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		e description, pages e claims, Nos.	
	☐ th	e drawings, sheets/figs	
		ne sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
	□ th	ne description, pages ne claims, Nos. ne drawings, sheets/figs	
	□ th	ne sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
	* If i	tem 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/009176

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-24

No:

No:

Claims

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-24

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-24

No:

Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

# 10/565027 IAP12 Rec'd PCT/PTO 18 JAN 2006

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/009176

### Re-Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.

- 1. The application relates to a method and apparatus for detecting signal conditions for compressed information streams.
- 2. Document US-A-2002/0064369 represents the closest prior art. US-A-2002/0064369 discloses a method and apparatus for detecting signal quality conditions for video recording systems in order to eliminate poor or non-existent video sections from previous recorded video. The system evaluates detected synchronization pulses (vertical and horizontal) and determines that a predetermined number of faults in a given period of time of such pulses correspond with invalid video signals. The system outputs a signal indicating the signal status.

#### 3. Problem:

The above approach can yield false positive detections of invalid video signals in several modes of compressed video streams that include dummy or auxiliary frames with no synchronization information between valid frames like alternate-mode or trick-MPEG-mode.

#### 4. Solution:

The solution proposed in independent claims 1, 12 and 14 can be considered as Novel and Inventive (Art. 33(2), 33(3) PCT) based on the feature, that the system is able to detect alternate-mode/trick-mode conditions and to analyse the header of the frames, and outputs an indication of valid signal when both an alternate/trick-mode condition and at least one valid frame are detected during the same predefined search window.

5. Neither the document US-A-2002/0064369 nor the rest of the documents cited in the International Search Report suggest by themselves individually or in combination the solution proposed here. Document EP-A-1 148 729 cited as "Y" in the International Search report describes the insertion of valid headers before selected object headers in MPEG data streams. Document US-A-5 982 830 cited as "A" in the International Search report describes a synchronization system for MPEG frame decoders. No one of them discloses or suggest the outputting of a validity signal when the above both conditions are are detected within a given search window.

Claims 2-11, 13 and 15-24 are dependent on claims 1, 12 and 14 respectively and as such also

meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.